


HİSAR TERMAL SPRINGS (DEMİRCİ-MANİSA) DISTRIBUTION of CHIRONOMIDAE (DIPTERA-INSECTA) SPECIES

Tuğrul Öntürk^{1,a,*}

¹*Eskişehir Osmangazi University, Faculty of Arts and Sciences, Department of Biology,
Eskişehir, Turkey*

**Corresponding Author:*
E-mail: tugrulonturk@gmail.com

(Received 15th September 2021; accepted 08th November 2021)

a:  ORCID 0000-0002-6358-6112

ABSTRACT. Sampling was made from 6 stations in 2019 around the Hisar thermal springs, which is approximately 8 km away from the Demirci district of Manisa province. A hand scoop was used in the sampling process and the collected benthos samples were passed through 60 and 80 mesh sieves. As a result of the study, 7 taxa from Chironominae, 5 taxa from Orthoclaadiinae and 3 taxa from Tanypodinae, a total of 15 taxa were identified.

Keywords: *chironomidae, hisar, demirci, manisa*

INTRODUCTION

In our country, irregular flow regime is observed in many rivers. Irregular flow regime is also observed in the study area. In previous years, many researchers in close regions related to macroinvertebrates and chironomidae have carried out many studies [4, 6].

The longest period during the life cycle of Chironomidae is in the larval stage. Chironomidae larvae are an important part of benthic biomass production. They can be found in many different environments because they have high tolerance ranges [9].

Therefore, Chironomidae larvae play an important role in determining water quality. May be the only insect species that can live in waters with low dissolved oxygen [1].

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling was made from 6 stations in 2019 around Hisar thermal springs, which is approximately 8 km away from Demirci district of Manisa province (**Fig. 1**). The coordinates of the stations are given in **Table 1**. A hand scoop was used in the sampling process and the collected benthos samples were passed through 60 and 80 mesh sieves. The samples were placed in 4% formaldehyde in the field. The samples brought to the laboratory were sorted under the microscope and placed in 70% alcohol. After the preparations of the samples to be diagnosed, they were examined under a binocular microscope. In the diagnosis of samples; Cranston (1982), Fittkau ve Roback (1983), Hirvenoja (1973), Klink ve Moller Pillot (2003), Şahin (1980, 1987, 1991, 1998), Wiederholm (1983) were used [2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14].



Fig. 1. Study area and stations.

Table 1. Sampling stations coordinates.

Station number	Coordinate
1	39°01'55.4"N 28°42'31.4"E
2	39°01'56.7"N 28°42'00.4"E
3	39°01'52.4"N 28°41'37.2"E
4	39°01'42.8"N 28°41'31.0"E
5	39°01'35.8"N 28°41'02.6"E
6	39°01'22.6"N 28°40'21.6"E

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

As a result of the study, 15 taxa were identified. The systematic distribution of these taxa according to the stations is given in **Table 2**. Chironominae took the first place with the most taxa (7 taxa). Orthocladiinae follows it with 5 taxa and finally Tanypodinae with 3 taxa. The most common species was *Polypedilum (T.) scalaenum* (Schrank, 1803) at 4 stations. The least amount of *Microtendipes pedellus* (De Geer 1776) was seen in only 1 station. When we examine the stations, it is the 6th station with 9 taxa where the most taxa are seen. There is a 3rd station with at least 3 taxa.

Table 2. Distribution of taxa belonging to Chironomidae at stations.

Taxa	Stations
Tanypodinae	
<i>Conchapelopia</i> sp.	1, 2, 3
<i>Ablabesmyia</i> (A.) <i>monilis</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	1, 2,
<i>Procladius</i> (<i>Holotanypus</i>) sp.	4, 6
Orthoclaadiinae	
<i>Cricotopus</i> (<i>Isocladus</i>) <i>sylvestris</i> (Fabricius 1794)	4, 5, 6
<i>Paratrichocladus</i> <i>rufiventris</i> (Meigen, 1830)	1, 2, 3
<i>Cricotopus</i> (C.) <i>viereriensis</i> Goetghebuer 1935	1, 2,
<i>Rheocricotopus</i> (R.) <i>fuscipes</i> (Kieffer, 1909)	5, 6
<i>Orthocladus</i> sp.	4, 6
Chironominae	
<i>Polypedilum</i> (T.) <i>scalaenum</i> (Schrank, 1803)	3, 4, 5, 6
<i>Chironomus</i> (C.) <i>anthracinus</i> Zetterstedt, 1860	4, 5, 6
<i>Chironomus</i> (C.) <i>plumosus</i> (Linnaeus, 1758)	5, 6
<i>Cryptochironomus</i> <i>defectus</i> (Kieffer 1913)	4, 5
<i>Micropsectra</i> sp.	5, 6
<i>Tanytarsus</i> sp.	5, 6
<i>Microtendipes</i> <i>pedellus</i> (De Geer 1776)	4

Chironomidae species are among the most common benthic invertebrates in almost every season [8].

The highest number of individuals found in rivers in high altitude regions is Orthoclaadiinae in Chironomidae. However, when we look at the general distribution, we see that Chironominae species are dominant. Chironominae species can tolerate living in high temperature and low oxygen [1].

As we said above, Chironomidae species are among the important groups in determining the water quality. However, many factors affecting water quality also affect biological existence.

4 taxa were determined at the first and second stations. Among these 4 taxa, the species with the highest population density is *Paratrichocladus rufiventris* (Meigen, 1830). The species with the least population density is *Ablabesmyia* (A.) *monilis* (Linnaeus, 1758).

At the third station, the most common species was *Polypedilum* (T.) *scalaenum* (Schrank, 1803). The least common species is *Conchapelopia* sp. has been. *Microtendipes pedellus* (De Geer 1776) was seen only at station 4. Both the benthic structure of the station and its biological structure reveal the tolerance range of this species to pollution.

Polypedilum (T.) *scalaenum* (Schrank, 1803) stands out as the species with the highest density at the fifth and sixth stations. This shows us that *Polypedilum* (T.) *scalaenum* (Schrank, 1803) is one of the species with the highest tolerance.

CONCLUSION

With this study, we aimed to reveal the chironomidae species diversity of the Hisar thermal springs area. However, it will be easier to determine the species diversity of chironomidae with the data to be obtained as a result of more comprehensive studies. In

future studies, it would be beneficial to investigate other benthic invertebrates as well as chironomidae species. Because chironomidae larvae use other invertebrates as food.

REFERENCES

- [1] Armitage, P., P. S. Cranston, L. C. V. Pinder. (1995): The Chironomidae. The biology and ecology of non-biting midges. Chapman and Hall, London , pp 1-572.
- [2] Cranston, P. S., (1982): A Key to The Larvae of The British Orthocladiinae (Chironomidae). Freshwater Biological Association Scientific Publication No. 45: 1-152.
- [3] Fittkau, E. J. and S. S. Roback, (1983): The larvae of Tanytopodinae (Diptera: Chironomidae) of the Holarctic region- Keys and diagnoses. Entomologica Scandinavica, Suppl. 19: 33-110.
- [4] Gündüz, O., Şimşek, C. (2021): Assessment of river alteration using a new hydromorphological index. Environ Monit Assess 193, 226. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-021-09018-w>
- [5] Hirvenoja, M. (1973): Revision der Gattung Cricotopus van der Wulp und ihrer Verwandten (Diptera, Chironomidae) Ann. Zool. Fennici 10: 1-363.
- [6] Kıymaz, G. (2018): Aşağı Gediz Havzası Nehir Sularının Kalitesinin Değerlendirilmesi ve Fizikokimyasal Parametrelerin Makroomurgasız Üzerine Etkilerinin İncelenmesi. Çevre Mühendisliği Anabilim Dalı Yüksek Lisans Tezi. Ankara
- [7] Klink, A.G. and H.K.M. Moller Pillot. (2003): Chironomidae larvae. Key to the Higher Taxa and Species of the Lowlands of Northwestern Europe. World Biodiversity Database, Expert Center for Taxonomic Identification, University of Amsterdam.
- [8] Öntürk, T., Arslan, N. (2002): Gümüş Çayı (Mardin-Kısıltepe) Oligochaeta (Annelida) ve Chironomidae (Diptera) Faunasının Belirlenmesine Yönelik Ön Çalışmalar. XII. Ulusal Su Ürünleri Sempozyumu Bildiriler Kitabı. ISBN: 975-394-049-1. Elazığ, pp. 82-86.
- [9] Rosenberg, D. M. and V. H. Resh, (1993): Freshwater biomonitoring and benthic macroinvertebrates, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1-488.
- [10] Şahin, Y. (1980): Elazığ ve kısmen çevre illerinin Chironomidae (Diptera) limnofaunasının tespiti ve taksonomik incelenmesi. Fırat Üni. Veterinerlik Fakültesi Dergisi. 5.1. pp. 180-182.
- [11] Şahin, Y. (1987): Eğridir Gölü Chironomidae (Diptera) larvaları ve yayılışları. Doğa Tur. Zooloji. D. 11.1 pp. 60-66.
- [12] Şahin, Y. (1991): Türkiye Chironomidae Potamofaunası (TBAG-869 ve VHAG-347, TBAG-669. TBAG-792 nolu projeler).
- [13] Şahin, Y. (1998): Sakarya Nehir Sistemi Omurgasız Potamofaunası'nın Tespiti. TÜBİTAK YDABÇAG -194 No'lu Proje.
- [14] Wiederholm, T., (1983): Chironomidae of the Holarctic region. Keys and diagnoses. Part I. Larvae. Entomologica Scandinavica, 19, 1-457.