

International Journal of Natural and Engineering Sciences 3 (3): 81-87, 2009

Efficiency Evaluation of Ground Skidding System and Determining the Optimum Forest Road Density in Caspian Forest (Northern Iran)

Iraj BAGHERI Ramin NAGHDI

Department of Mechanization Engineering,Faculty of Agriculture, University of Guialn, Rasht, Iran Department of Forestry, Faculty of Natural Resources, University of Guilan, Somehsara, Iran

ABSTRACT

Determining optim um forest road netw ork den sity is one of the most impor tant factors in sustainable forest management. Logging method is an important factor in determin ing optimum road ne twork density. In th is research in order to determine optimum ro ad network den sity, skidding cost and road construction cost we re ca lculated. Th e optimum road network density was concluded at the lowest total costs (skidding and road construction costs). The results showed that skidding costs was 6.27\$/m3 and the road c onstruction cost was 21878\$/km and that the optimum road network density was between 7-10m/ha.

Key Words: Forestry Management, Optimization Modeling, Forest road density

INTRODUCTION

In the Caspian forests, m ost tim ber is extrac ted b y different metho ds of ground skidding and the logging methods used is cut to length and tree leng th. Wheeled skidders appear ed in th e early 1970's and are now the most widel y us ed. The m ajor problem with wheeled skidders is their requirement of optimum road spacing.

There are many different reports on determining road construction an d skidding co sts of different logging method. Forest harvesting costs account for m ore than half of the cost of forest ma nagement unit and in Iran; it sometimes reaches 65 percent (Sarikhani, 1990). So it is very important to optimize the related harvesting costs in any for est m anagement ac tivities. At pres ent fores ts administrators are concerned with these issues and tr y to find s uitable approaches to red uce cos ts and i ncrease efficiency.

The necessity of mechanization of harvesting systems to overcome the need of high wood production, leads to researches related to cost assessment and the efficiency of the s ystems being used. With regards to this, industrial development has been introduced as a forwarding motion, from a short wood s ystem to a tree length and then a whole tree s ystem. The main goal was to improve work allocation and to introduce ap propriate appro aches in order to redu ce the expens es (MacDonald and Clow, 1999).

The ev aluation of two m echanized logg ing s ystems (tree length and whole tree s ystems) in Shafarood forests , showed that the skidding cost per cubic meter in whole tree s ystem and tree length s ystem were $0.31\$ /m³ and $0.17\$/m³$ respectively (Feghi, 1990).

Another stud y in this area using Clark 667 wh eeled skidder showed that the skiddin g time d epends upon the variables such as skidding dist ance, percentage of slope in skid trail, numb er of logs per turn and volume per turn (Sobhani and Ghasemzadeh, 1990).

In most studies carried out on the evaluation o f systems efficiency and oper ation of harvesting machiner y the time study techniques and statistical models were used for estimating time and cost of operation . One of the principles in this method is to d ivide the work into work elements which allows for a m ore precise study and als o separates productiv e tim e and unproductive time (Bjorheden, 1991).

Favreau and Gingras, (1998) carried out a study on the amount of production and cost of productions at differen t stages of prod uction (felling, bucking , lo ading and extraction) on f ull tree logging and cut to length logging methods. They concluded th at the wood production cost per cubic meter in cut to length logging method at extraction stage was higher th an full tree logging method. They found th at leng th of logs, skidding distance, topographical conditions and skill of operat ors were important effective variables on production cost.

Today the development of ma thematical equations of the skidding time is an eff ective method for estimating cost of produ ction unit. Therefore the independent variables ent ered into the m odels m ust be fle xible and represent real life situation of th e systems (Goulet e t al., 1979).

Ledoux and H uyler (2001) co mpared large cut to length and small cut to leng th system of h arvesting in terms of produ ction and opera ting costs with different machine utilization rates in broad leave forests of eastern USA. In their research they used time study method and examined the e ffective factors in extracting lo gs from feeling gaps to landings on the side of ro ad. They concluded that the most impor tant effective factors were load volume in each turn, skidding distance and number of logs in each turn.

The logging method is one of the important factor s in determining ty pe of roads and road network density (Lotfalian, 2001).Forest roads network are d ifferent in terms of te chnical spec ification with r egards to topographical situation of the area, logging methods, type of machiner y and length of logs. Therefore the roads construction an d m aintenance costs will b e different. Construction and maintenance costs of roads are the main costs that dir ectly aff ect road n etwork dens ity. On the other hand silvicultural system with regards to production output determin es ty pe of logg ing machiner y and forest road network density (Naghdi, 2004).

Plamondon and Favreau (1994) stated that variables such as av erage production v olume per h ectare, road construction and maintenance costs and skidding costs are important factors in es timating optimum skidding distance. They evalu ated four logging systems (cable skidder, clam bunk and grapple skidder and forwarder) in terms of efficiency and dete rmined the optim al skidding or forwarding d istance for them by using the combined road and skidding costs model. Their findings showed road and skidding costs model. Their findings that cable skidder system with road construction cost of 12000\$/km had lowest total construction and skidding costs. Th ey sho wed that th e stock per hectar e of forest and wood yield per hectare are important effective factors on optimal skidding distance and optimal road network density. Ther efore in order to exam ine the rel ation between stock per hectare and r oad network d ensity, the road construction and skidding costs and also the combined costs per cubic meter of wood are calculated.

The results of researches carried out on the efficiency of ground skid ding s ystems in northern forest of Iran showed that skidding distance has direct an d linear relation with skidding costs (Feghi 1990, Nagh di and et al. 2005 and Eghtesadi, 1991).

With regards to results of the research \mathbf{b} y H einrich (2001) construction of adequate density o f forest roads are essential for development o f close to natur e forest management in mountainous forests. Since the major part of road construction costs consist of constructing roads in steep slopes, therefore the high costs of road con struction in this are a ha s negative effect on road den sity and decreases forest road network density.

Boghean and Pavel (2002) studied th e relation between forest roads network and logging s ystems in Romania. They emphasized that considering the low roa d network density and long skiddi ng distance in most forest management unit of Romania, it is necessar y to consider combined ground skidding and cable skidding systems in forest logging.

In this stud y the cost of skidding in ground skidding system and road construction costs are determined for one cubic meter of wood. On this b asis by estimating the total costs (skidding and road construction costs) the optimum forest roads network density is determined for the studied district.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was carried out in co mpartment 926 of $9th$ district in Shaf arood forest, with the altitude ranging between 1400 and 1600 meters and average annual precipitation of 1000 millimeters. The for est was unevenaged and it s ty pe wa s fa getum (*Fagus orientalis Lipsky*) with the averag e growing stock of 330 cubic meters per hectare. The area of the compartment was 70 hectares and the existing road density of the studied dis trict was 16.2m/ha. Max imum and absolute grad ient of the compartment w ere 75% and 2 0% to 50%, respectively. Cutting reg ime and silvicu ltural method were single or group selective cutting . Th e t otal volum e of prim ary transportation which was carried out by skidders in short and long logs was 1600m³. The landings were prepared at the border of road in the lower part of the compartment, and ther efore the direction of skidding was entirely downward. Th e ty pe skidder used in th is stud y was C450Timber Jack cab le ski dder, model 6BTA5.9 with 177hp and 10257kg weight.

Work study techniques were used to es timate production and unit costs of a volume of wood extracted. The cycle of skidding turn was b roken down into different elements and was defined as follows:

- Travel empty

 - Releasing: the time needed to release the winching cable

- Choker setting
- Winching
- Travel loaded
- Unhooking (choker releasing)
- Piling

In addition to these elements, there is a series of delay times in ea ch t urn. The d elays were divid ed i nto thre e groups:

- Operational delay
- Technical delay
- Personal delay

Time study data was collected in summer of 20 04. In addition to th e m easurement of tim e for each work element, fac tors s uch as s kidding dis tances, l ength and

mean diam eter of logs , grad ient of skiddin g roads, winching distances, and number of logs in each skidding turn were a lso m easured. In order to de termine the number of required samples, first a preinven tory was done to specif y the time variance of skidding without considering delay time and then thirty cases of skidding were studied us ing time stud y method. With the use of following formula the r equired samples were 39 with 95% probability level of 10% accuracy.

$$
n = \frac{t^2 \times s^2}{E^2}
$$
 (1)

Where n is num ber of sam ples, t is t-student, s is standard deviation and E is standard error.

Scientific references and information concerning time studies of forest harvesting operations indicate that th e best wa y to create m athematical m odels of tas k performance ti me for harv esting m achinery is varian ce analysis and m ulti-variable r egression m odels. Minitab software was used and a mathematical model for skidding turn was dev eloped. For estimating m achine rat e, the FAO guideline (1980) was used. The skidding cost model was used to estimate the skidding cost for one cubic meter of wood extracted over different skidding distances.

Roads technical specification standard and meth od of wood extraction are two main factors that affect optimum road spacing of kidding operations. In other words these factors affect optimum forest road network density, which is determined b y minimum total cost (skidding and road construction co sts), (Rowan, 1976). Optimum road density is an important facto r to help forest engineers optimize the harvesting costs using a s uitable forest road network (Ghafarian et al. 2003) .There is a direct counter relation between skidding distance and road spacing, this relation is shown in the figure below(Tan,1992):

Road Road

SA= Average road spacing

TA= Average skidding distance

 $SA = 4TA$

Figure 1. Road spacing and skidding distance

In the above figure it is assu med that generally the roads are para llel and equa l dis tance from each other. In fact these calculations a re th eoretical and ar e used as a general guide for explaining average skidding distance and skid trail bo rders. In pract ice fo rest road s pacing can be determined wi th respect to different terr ain conditions (Sedlak, 1981). Therefo re th e actual skidding distances were m easured in the s tudied a rea. Th ese dis tances ar e included in ca lculations and based on this optimum roa d network density is determined.

In t his re search i n orde r to det ermine t he optimum road network density , first skidding and road co nstruction costs for unit v olume wood were calculated . Then th e optimum road network densit y was conclud ed at lowest total costs (skidding and road construction costs).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) is used to determine the relationship between d ependent var iable (t otal t ime) and independ ent variabl es in each work ele ment and effective variables. In this analysis the first step is to make sure that the data are distributed normally and this is done by using normal plot and Anderson-Darling method. Then the relationship between time spent for work elem ents in each skidding turn and effective variables is specified with the use of scatter plot technique.

The re lation be tween m easured effec tive vari ables such as skidding distance, gradient, load volume and etc and their in teraction with skid ding time (skidding time without delay) are also de termined and analyzed. Th e results show that the r elation between v ariables and skidding time are mostly linear with different correlations. The stepwise r egression is used to determin e fixed coefficients. The model determined is as follows:

Mathematical equation of the skidding time as a function of effective factors

$$
Y=3.57+1.88X_1+0.02X_2+0.20X_3+3.09X_4\tag{2}
$$

Where: $Y=$ time ne eded for on e turn (m inutes), $X_1=$ load volume in (m^3) , X_2 = skidding distance (m) , X_3 = load winching distance (m) and X $_4$ = num ber of logs in each turn

Table 1.Analysis variance of the model

Source	SS	df	MS	$MS_{\rm Regression}$ \mathbb{R}^2 $\mathrm{MS}_{\mathrm{Residual}}$	
Regression	2206.6		551.64		85.9%
Residual	362.8	34	10.67	51.69	
Total	2569.4	38			P<0.001

Validity of the model

Previous to analy zing data, two se ries of ti me-study information are randomly tak en out from the data to be used for deter mining the model validity . C onfidence limits of skidding tim e estim ated b y th e m odel ar e calculated and compared with rea l s kidding time. To calculate confidence limits estimated by model (estimated time) following formula is used:

$$
\hat{Y} \pm t_{a=\%5} \sqrt{(Mse)(1+\frac{1}{n}+\xi'sp^{-1}\xi)} \quad (3)
$$

Where Y is estimated time by model for each turn of skidding without considering delay time, Mse is mean square error, n is number of sk idding turns used in the model, ξ is nume ric value obtained from time st udy of effective variables in the model to calculate time for each turn of skidding, Sp is sum of products and Sp $^{-1}$ is inversion of sp.matrix. The results show that the model has acquired statistical validity (table.2).

Table 2. Validity of the model

Confidence limit	Measured time Estimated time	
13.17 <measured td="" time<26.73<=""><td>18.30</td><td>19.95</td></measured>	18.30	19.95
9.67 <measured td="" time<23.23<=""><td>15.10</td><td>16.45</td></measured>	15.10	16.45

Calculating production unit

 $The production = \frac{Volume of wood skidded toward landing}{Time needed for skidding operation}$

The production without delay time =

Total volume of wood skidded towards landing (m^3) = $\frac{130.72}{9.77}$ = 13.38m³/hour

The production with delay time =

Total volume of wood skidded towards landing (m^3) = $\frac{130.72}{11.44}$ = 11.43m³/hour Total used time with considering delay time (hour)

Calculating production cost

The result of the stud y shows that th e best model for skidding turns time is a function of independent variables: volume in each turn, skidding distance, winching distance and num ber of logs in each tur n of s kidding. Bas ed on 99% confidence the model proved to be valid (table.1).

With the us e o f m ean valu e o f effec tive fac tors in these m odels, o perational tim e and th e cost of unit o f production are estimated and based on this labor and machinery costs and finally the necessary budget for wood extraction can be es timated w hich is a pos itive s tep towards work management (these models can be used for similar regions with the same topograph v, gradien t. similar regions with the same topograph infrastructure facilities and harvesting methods).

In order to calculate production cost over the location, FAO guideline manual is used (1980). So, using this manual the s ystem cos ts consis ting of m achine cos ts simulation and labor costs are calculated and dividing this by the production over the location , productio n cost for one cub ic m eter are c alculated. Schedu led daily work hours were 8 hours and useful work hours were 6 hours per day and productivity is calculated based on a 6 hour day. The number of work day s was considered 150 day s per year. The r esults show that skidding costs without delay time is $6.27 \text{ }\frac{\text{m}}{\text{s}}$ and with delay time is 7.25 m^3 .

Skidding costs

In this research with the use of mathematical model of the skidding time prediction, the effect of variab les changes on time is determined. Then b y using skidding cost equation the skidding cost is determined for different skidding distances.

$$
C = \frac{\frac{V \times [t \times Dt)}{V}}{V} \times Sc \tag{4}
$$

 $C =$ Skidding cost $(\frac{\pi}{3})$

 $V = \text{Total volume of skidded wood (m}^3)$

 $t =$ Time per skidding turn (hour)

 $Dt = Average$ delay time (hour)

 $Sc = System cost (machinery and labor costs) ($\frac{\text{S}}{\text{hour}})$$

 $v =$ Average volume of skidded wood in one turn $(m³)$

Therefore th e effect of s kidding dis tance changes on skidding cost can be studied using the equation above. In this stud y in or der to determin e skidding cost for each different forest road netw ork density , first skidding distances relevant to different road density are determined. Then with the use of skidding cost equation (4) and considering the rel ation betw een skidding distance and skidding costs, the skidding costs for differ ent skidding distances and f or differ ent road density is cal culated (table.3).

Skidding trail construction cost

Length of skidding trails per hectare =
$$
\frac{\text{Total length of skidding trails (m)}}{\text{Computer area (hectare)}} = \frac{2911}{58} = 50 \text{m/ha}
$$

Annual forest growth is 6m $\frac{3}{h}$ a and for 10 y ears period (in single selection meth od), annual forest growth is 60 m³/ha. The skid trails construction cost is 1000\$/km, this is the cost for excavation, earth filling and preparing
the skid trails (Shafarood Forest Cooperation, 2004). the skid trails (Shafarood Forest Cooperation , 2004).
Therefore for 5 0m is 50\$. This is the cost for 60m³ of Therefore for $5 \,$ 0m is $50\$. This is the cost for wood, ther efore for skidd ing one cubic meter is 50/60=0.83\$/m3 .Consequently the skid tra ils construction $cost(0.83\$/m^3)$ is added to skid ding cost to obtain final skidding cost (table 3).

Table 3.R elationship betwee n r oad network density , average skidding distance and skidding cost

Relationship between road netw ork density and road construction costs

Road construction costs

The existing roads in the s tudied area adhere to the standards related to main access forest road. The ro ad construction c osts include excavation and filling (construction of trails b y bulldozer), pavem ent and finishing and r etaining wall and drainag e co nstruction costs. The planning costs of for est road proj ect are taken as 10% of th ese costs (tab le 4), (Shaf arood forest cooperation. (2004).

Table 4. Road construction and planning costs in the studied area

Road cost

Annual forest road cost of one kilometer is determined by total cost of capital interest, depreciation and repair and maintenance costs (table.5).

Depreciation

$$
D = \frac{\text{Cost } (\$/Km)}{\text{Useful life}(\text{year})} = \frac{21878}{50} = 438\frac{\text{m}}{\text{km}}
$$

 $\text{cost} =$

Repair and maintenance cost

Repair and maintenan ce cost o f road is 5% of road construction cost.

Annual repair and maintenan ce cost = 19889×0.05=994\$/km

Restoration cost

Road restoration cost for 20 years is 30% of capital cost. Therefore annual restoration cost is 1.5% of capital cost.

Annual restoration $cost = 19889 \times 0.015 = 298\% / km$

Type of cost	Cost(S/km)
Capital interest	1805
Depreciation	438
Repair and maintenance	994
Restoration	298
Total	3535

Table 5. Annual road construction cost (\$/km)

Annual road construction cost for differ ent for est road network density is calculated in $\frac{2}{m}$ (table 6). For example annual road construction cost is 35 35\$/km, therefore for fo rest road n etwork density of 5 m/ha and forest growth of 6m $3/ha$ (in sing le selection method) the road construction cost is 2.95\$ /m³. Consequently the road construction cost is added to final skidding cost (skidding cost and skid trail construction cost) to obtain total cost.

Table 6. Relationship between road network d ensity and road construction costs

Forest road network Road construction		Total cost
density (meter per	$costs (\nIm^3)$	$(\frac{\text{S}}{\text{m}^3})$
hectare)		
5	2.95	15.04
6	3.54	14.66
7	4.12	14.56
8	4.71	14.63
9	5.30	14.82
10	5.89	15.08
11	6.48	15.42
12	7.07	15.78
13	7.66	16.19
14	8.25	16.62
15	8.84	17.07
16	9.43	17.54
17	10.02	18.02
18	10.61	18.51
19	11.20	19.02
20	11.78	19.53

As shown in fi g.2 with the help of total costs curve
which is composed of road and skidding costs, the and skidding costs, the optimum road n etwork densit y limit can be det ermined. This limit is at the lowest level of the curve of total costs. The graph shows that suitable forest road network density in the studied area with regards to road and skidding costs, is in the range of 7-10 m/ha. The results of this research show that the high costs of road construction in the studied area is a m otive to reduce road network density and increase length of skid tra ils and that's the reason for low skidding costs per cubic meter.

Fig.2. Relationship between costs and forest road network density

CONCLUSIONS

The aim of this research was to calculate skidding and road constructio n costs, in order to determine optimum forest road network density . The mathematical model for predicting skidding time was de termined and with the use of this model, time and cost of skidding for different skidding distances were calculated.

Skidding distances were dete rmined for d ifferent road density. The skidding cost/m 3 of wood for different skidding distances is calculated using cost equation 4.Then annual road construction cost is determined for unit volume of wood extracted from forest roads.

The sum of roa d construction and skidding costs for different ro ad density are determined. Based on minimizing th ese two costs, the optimum forest road network density was estimated to be 7-10m/ha.The road skid trail density was 50m/ha. With these densities of road network and s kid tra ils, all th e parcels ar e av ailable for extracting wood.

This shows that b y constructing roads and skid trails with suitab le d ensity in se lective silv icultural m ethod which is used in mountainous fo rest of nor thern Iran, the costs can be reduced.

In this rese arch the existing road density was 16 m/ha (for shelter wood system and intensive logging which was used up to 1991) and recent years selective system is used and therefor e road dens ity for thes e s ystems m ust be determined.

With the us e of m ean value of effect ive fa ctors in these m odels, o perational time and the cost of unit of production ar e estimated and based on this labor and machinery costs and finally the necessar y bu dget for wood extraction can be estimated which is a positive step towards work management (these models can be used for similar regions with the same topograph y, gradient, infrastructure facilities and harvesting methods).

REFERENCES

- **[1]** Bjorheden, R. (1991). B asic Time Con cepts for International Comparisons of Ti me Study Repo rts. Journal of Forest Engineering, 2:2, 33-39; 17ref.
- **[2]** Boghean, P. and A. Pavel, (2002). Interconnection of Forest Roads Network, Harv esting and W ood Transport. Published by National Institute of wood, Bucharest, Romania, 8p.
- [3] Eghtesadi, A. (1991).Stud y Method of Wood Extraction from Forest to Factor y Yard in Ne kah Forest. MSc.th esis, Coll ege of Natural Resourc es, University of Tehran, Iran, 144 p.
- **[4]** FAO. (1980). Planning forest roads and harvesting systems, FAO forestry paper, 148 p.
- **[5]** Favreau, J. and F. Gingras, (1998). An An alysis of Harvesting Costs in Ea stern Canada. Special rep ort, No. SR-129. 10p.
- **[6]** Feghi, J. (1990). Ev aluation of two Harvesting Mechanization Systems. M Sc thes is, Univers ity of Tehran, Iran.
- **[7]** Ghafarian, M.R. (2003). Examination of Amount of Damages to Regeneration and Soil due to Transporting W ood with Tr aditional Method. MSc thesis, Coll ege of Natural Reso urces, Universit y of Tehran, Iran, 109 p.
- **[8]** Goulet, V. L.Sirois and H. Iff (1979).A S urvey of Timber Harvesting Simulation Models for use i n the South . USDA Forest Se rvice, General T echnical Report, SO-25.
- **[9]** Heinrich, R. (2001). R ecent Dev elopments on Environmentally Friendly Forest Road Construction and Wood Tran sport in Mountainous Forests, FAO. Forestry department, Rome, Italy.
- **[10]** Ledoux, B. and K. Huy ler, (2001).Cost Comparisons for three Harv esting Systems Operating in Northern Hardwood Stands.USDA, Fore st Service. Research paper NE-715
- **[11]**Lotfalian, M. (2001).Study of Effectiv e Factors in Determining O ptimum Forest Road Densit y in Sangdeh-Mazandaran. PhD thesis, College of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Iran, 116 p.
- **[12]** MacDonald, P. and M. Clo w, (1999). Just one Damn Machine after another? Technological Innovation and the Industrialization of Tr ee Harvesting S ystems. Technology in Society (21):323-344.
- **[13]** Naghdi, R. N.Rafatnia, H.Sobhani, G.H .Jalali, and S.M. Hosseini, (2005). A Survey of the Efficiency of Timber J ack 4 50C W heeled Skidder in S heared Forests. Journal of Natural Resources, University of Tehran, Iran, 57(4)657-687.
- **[14]** Naghdi, R. (2004). Comparative study of tr ee length and cu t to length logging method. PhD thesis, College of Natu ral Resources, University of Tehran, Iran, 320p
- **[15]** Plamondon, J.A.and J. Fav reau, (1994). Establishing the Optimal Skidding or Forwa rding Distance as a Function of Road Cost. FERIC, Techn ical Note. TN-219.8P.
- **[16]** Ro wan, A. (1976). Fore st Road Planning . Forestr y commission booklet: 43. 29p. ISBN 0117100250.
- **[17]** Sarikhani, N. (1990).Forest harvesting . 2nd ed. University of Tehran, Iran, 780 p.
- **[18]** Sedlak, O. (1981). General Prin ciples for the Planning of a Fo rest Road Network. Technical report of upper Austrian forest se rvice, division of f orest techniques.
- **[19]** Shafarood forest cooperation. (2004).Yearly report of road construction engine ering office. Shaf arood forestry company, Iran.
- **[20]** Sobhani, H. and R. Ghasemzadeh, (1990) .The Study of Effects of Skidding b y Wheeled Skidder. Journal of Natural Resources. (41):53-64.
- **[21]** Tan, J. (19 92). Planning a Forest Road Network b y a Spatial Data Handing-Network Routing System. Acta For. Fenn. 227p.